Downloaded From www.previousexampapers.com

Signature of Invigilators

Signature of the Co-ordinator

(Evaluation)

Roll No.							1				
			Write Roll Number from left side exactly as in Admit Card)								
PAPER - III											
0910						Test Booklet No.					
				PHIL	OSOP	HY					
Time : $2\frac{1}{2}$ Hours						Maximum Marks : 200					
	Instructions for the Candidates					FOR OFFICE USE ONLY Marks Obtained					
1.	Write your p rovided on	Question Number	Mar <mark>ks Obtained</mark>	Question Number		Question Number					
2.	This paper consists of four Sections – I, III & IV.						10		19		
3.	Answers are to be written in the space provided against the questions.				2		11		×		
	No additiona	al sheets a	re to be	used Previou	3 ISExami	apers	12 com		×		
4.	Read instruc	ctions give	n inside c	arefully	4		13		×		
5.	One sheet is test booklet	o accaonica	at are or	nd of the	5		14		×		
6.	If you write your name or put any special mark on any part of the test booklet which may disclose in any way your identity, you will render yourself liable to disqualification.			6		15		×			
				7		16		×			
7.	You should return the test booklet to the invigilator at the end of the examination and should not carry any paper with you				8		17		×		
	outsi de the c	examinatio	on hall.		9		18		×		
					Total m	arks obta	ined				

3 **0910-III**

PHILOSOPHY

Paper - III

SECTION - I

Note: i) Answer all questions.

- ii) Each question carries twenty marks.
- iii) Each answer should be given in 500 words.

 $2 \times 20 = 40$

1. Explain the Nyāya view of asatkāryavāda and the Sāmkhya view of satkāryavāda and the main points of their differences.

OR

State the Humean distinction between Relations of Ideas and Matters of Fact.

2. Write an essay on the theory of the Dependent Origination (*Pratitya Samutpada*).

OR

Write an essay on Gandhian concept of Syarapapers.com

OR

Critically examine Wittgenstein's picture theory of meaning.

11

0910-III

SECTION - II

Note:

- i) Answer all questions.
- ii) Each question carries fifteen marks.
- iii) Each answer should be given in 300 words.

 $3 \times 15 = 45$

3. Reason with Gandhi that *ahimsā* is not the philosophy of the weak.

OR

Elucidate the nature and characteristics of religious experience. Does this kind of experience help to manage religious dialogue? Discuss.

OR

How does Frege distinguish between sense and reference? Discuss.

OR

What are the points of difference between Samkara and Ramanuja in respect of their views on the relation between Jiva and Brahman?

OR

Give an account of Heidegger's concept of Dasein.

4. Discuss after Śaṁkara, the exact meaning of the word 'atha' in the Brahmasūtra athāto brahmojijnāsā.

Give an account of Russell's theory of description and Strawson's criticism of it.

OR

Explain Husserl's concept of intentionality.

OR

Give an account of Gandhian conception of Pannchayeti Raj.

OR

Give a full account of the eightfold path leading to nirvana.

5. Explain the Advaita view of *pratyaksa* and its varieties.

OR

How does Husserl give a phenomenological orientation to Descartes' method of doubt?

OR

Explain, in brief, the ethical teachings of the Qur'an.

OR

Explain and examine, in brief, Wittgenstein's use theory of meaning.

OR

Explain briefly Gandhi's concept of Sarvodaya.

21 **0910-III**

SECTION - III

Note: i) Answer all questions.

- ii) Each question carries ten marks.
- iii) Each answer should be given in 50 words.

 $9 \times 10 = 90$

Explain the meaning of Karya as pragabhava pratiyogi.

How do you distinguish between hetu and upanaya of a pancavayava nyaya?

What is existential fallacy?

Demonstrate $P / :: Q \lor \sim Q$.

10. Analyse, following Russell, the meaning of the sentence "The present king of France is bald".

www.PreviousExamPapers.com

- 11. Distinguish between samyoga and samavaya, after Nyaya-Vaisesika.
- 12. Explain what is $s\bar{a}dh\bar{a}rana\ dharma$ and contrast it with svadharma, after the $Bhagvadg\bar{i}ta$.
- 13. How does Locke distinguish between primary and secondary qualities, and how does Berkeley criticise the Lockean distinction ?
- 14 Give a short account of distributive justice.

0910-III

SECTION - IV

Note: i) Answer all questions.

ii) Each question carries five marks.

iii) Each answer should be given in 30 words.

 $5 \times 5 = 25$

The following passage has been extracted from Aristotle's *Analytica Priora*, Book I, translated into English by A. J. Jenkison. Read the passage carefully and answer the questions that follow:

"We must first state the subject of our inquiry and the faculty to which it belongs: its subject is demonstration and the faculty that carries it out is demonstrative science. We must next define a premiss, a term, and a syllogism, and the nature of a perfect and of an imperfect syllogism; and after that, the inclusion or non-inclusion of one term in another as in a whole, and what we mean by predicating one term of all, or none, of another.

A premiss then is a sentence affirming or denying one thing of another. This is either universal or particular or indefinite. By universal I mean the statement that something belongs to all or none of something else; by particular that it belongs to some or not to some is not to all; by indefinite that it does or does not belong, without any mark to show whether it is universal or particular, *e.g.* 'contraries are subjects of the same science', or 'pleasure is not good'.

www.PreviousExamPapers.com

I call that a term into which the premiss is resolved, *i.e.* both the predicate and that of which it is predicated, 'being' being added and 'not being' removed, or vice-versa.

A syllogism is discourse in which, certain things being stated, something other than what is stated follows of necessity from their being so. I mean by the phrase that they produce the consequence, and by this, that no further term is required from without in order to make the consequence necessary.

I call that a perfect syllogism which needs nothing other than what has been stated to make plain what necessarily follows; a syllogism is imperfect, if it needs either one or more propositions, which are indeed the necessary consequences of the terms set down, but have not been expressly stated as premisses."

Questions:

- 15. What is that science of which Aristotle is here talking, and what is its subject of inquiry?
- 16. How does he propose to progress in its inquiry?
- 17. What is a premiss and what are its different types?
- 18. What is a syllogism, according to Aristotle?
- 19. What is a perfect syllogism?